

Journal of Engineering Geology

Journal home page https://jeg.khu.ac.ir



Online ISSN 2981-1600

Review of geotechnical characteristics of soil contaminated with hydrocarbon substances and residues from a burnt oil refinery

Amir Khoshgoftar¹, Mahdi Khodaparast²⊠

- 1. Phd Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Qom, Qom, Iran. khoshgoftar.bl@gmail.com
- 2. Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Qom, Qom, Iran. khodaparast@qom.ac.ir

Article Info

Article type:

Research Article

Article history:

Received 3 October 2024 Received in revised form 15 December 2024 Accepted 28 December 2024

Keywords:

Geotechnical properties, Oil contamination, Types of soil, Soil structure, Hydrocarbon.

Soil contamination by petroleum contaminants and their derivatives has harmful

effects on the soil environment. The structure and geotechnical parameters of the soil will change as a result of the interaction between the contaminant and the soil. The double layer thickness of the clay will change, and the structure of the clay soil will become similar to that of the granular soil. In the present study the effect of contamination by burnt-oil waste from refineries on the compaction and resistance behavior of clayey sand soils was investigated. The geotechnical characteristics of soil types contaminated with different percentages of hydrocarbons from previous research were also reviewed and analyzed. The primary effects were decreases in the internal friction angle, California bearing ratio and permeability of the soil and increases in the cohesion and Atterberg limits of the soil. The shear strength of the contaminated soil did not show a definite or constant trend of change. When contaminated with acidic sludge, despite an increase in the cohesion of the soil, a decrease in the internal friction angle caused a decrease in the shear strength. When contaminated with dirt filter residue, the shear strength of the soil increased with the substantial increase in cohesion, despite a decrease in the internal friction angle.

ABSTRACT

Introduction

In recent years, the effects of hydrocarbon pollution on soils have received increasing attention (Tumanyan et al., 2017; Errington et al., 2018). Such pollutants not only damage the soil ecosystem and alter its physical and mechanical properties, but also threaten the safety civil engineering structures (Haghsheno and Arabani, 2022). Petroleum is used to produce valuable products such as plastics, chemical fertilizers, and as a raw material for the production of chemicals. More than two million tons of oil are produced worldwide every day, and about 10% of petroleum and its derivatives leak into groundwater from storage tanks, pipelines, tankers, and as waste from factories. In addition to reaching groundwater, the contamination spreads horizontally through the soil by capillary forces, contaminating even more soil (Prasanna and Manoharan, 2016; Tajik, 2004; Tuncan and Pamukcu, 1992). Studies conducted between 1978 and 1992 reported that 33% of water and soil contamination was due to improper storage and transportation and 67% resulted from defects and accidents during the transportation of oil products through pipelines and oil tankers (Kermani and Ebadi, 2012). Although washing, as well as chemical and biological methods, have been introduced to clean contaminated soil, they are costly and have limitations (Riser-Roberts, 1998; Yulin et al., 2024). A detailed study of the geotechnical behavior of contaminated soil indicates that it would be more economical to contaminated soil in placefor road

Publisher: Kharazmi University.

Cite this article: Khoshgoftar, A., Khodaparast, M. (2024). Review of geotechnical characteristics of soil contaminated with hydrocarbon substances and residues from a burnt oil refinery. Journal of Engineering Geology, 18 (5), 681-711. https://doi.org/10.22034/JEG.2024.18.5.104968



infrastructure or other construction projects (Al-Rawas et al., 2005; Virkutyte et al., 2002).

Crude oil and its derivatives are mainly of hydrocarbons, composed nitrogen compounds, sulfur, organic metal compounds and mineral salts (Jukic, 2013). The duration of contamination, the type of contaminant and the type of soil will affect the geotechnical properties of the contaminated soil (Cyrus et al., 2010). Any change in the shear resistance and engineering characteristics other contaminated soil layers can cause a decrease in the stability of slopes, reduce the bearing capacity and increase the total and relative settlement of structural foundations (Khoshgoftar et al., 2021; Mohammadi and Hosseinabadi, 2019; Afsari et al., 2021).

Haji (2016), by examining the clayey gravel soil contaminated with 12% gas oil, reported a 9 degree reduction in the internal friction angle of the soil, and Karkush and kareem (2017) showed a 7.5 degree reduction in the internal friction angle of the soil with 10% crude oil contamination in clay soil.

Rahgooy et al. (2024), using numerical modeling with Abaqus, show that adding oil contamination in the range of 0 to 16% to clay soils increases the maximum displacement of the trench to five times that of the clean state.

The rate of penetration of oil contaminants into the soil depends on the soil characteristics (porosity, permeability, water content, etc.) as well as the type and amount of compounds in the contaminant (Fine et al., 1997; Nudelman et al., 2002). Fine-grained soils are more likely to be contaminated with petroleum substances and their derivatives because they have a larger specific surface area than coarse-grained soils. Fine et al. (1997) introduced a parameter called the sensitivity index ranging from 0 to 1 for different types of soil. This index for sand should fall into the range of 0.01 to 0.1 and for clay in the range of 0.6 to 0.9.

Contamination in coarse-grained soil causes physical interactions where the contaminant is bound to the soil by a weak van der Waals bond. In fine-grained soil, it will cause physical and chemical interactions because the contaminants are generally bound to the soil by covalent bonds. In clay soils, it will cause a decrease in the bilayer thickness and a decrease in the dielectric constant, resulting in flocculation of the clay soil (Singh et al., 2009). The soil investigated in the current study was exposed to pollutants and waste from a petroleum plant. This included the waste from burnt oil from a refinery. Some studies have been conducted on the effect of hydrocarbons and petroleum pollutants, including crude oil, gasoline, diesel, motor oil and burnt motor oil in fine and coarse soils, but few studies have been conducted on soil contamination by waste from burnt oil from refineries. (Baiazidi et al., 2013; Hamidi and Karimi, 2021; Nasehi et al., 2016). Large-scale oil refinery fires have occurred in Iran and many other countries. The resulting waste products spread into the ground, causing extensive soil contamination. In this research, the soil contaminated by residues from a burnt oil refinery in Salafchegan Industrial Town of Qom was investigated. A literature review showed that the contamination of different types of soil by hydrocarbons will cause different changes the soil geotechnical parameters. The results of these studies should be compared and analyzed; therefore, a complete and accurate study of the mechanisms of hydrocarbon contamination and its derivatives on the characteristics of the soil is required. The present study was carried out to investigate the changes in geotechnical parameters including compaction parameters, resistance parameters, Atterberg permeability and changes in soil texture of all types of soils contaminated with hydrocarbons, especially acidic sludge and dirt filter residue, both waste products from a burnt oil refinery.

Data and method

In previous studies, different types of soils with different percentages by weight of crude oil and its derivatives were mixed and then tested to determine the effect of the contaminant on the shear strength, compaction, Atterberg limits, permeability, etc. of the soil. In the current research, in order to study the effect of soil contamination caused by different percentages of acid sludge and dirty filter residue resulting from the treatment of burned oil (0%, 3%, 6%, 9%), compaction tests were performed on the contaminated soil samples according to ASTM D698. An important basis for the selection of contaminant levels is the possibility of comparison with the results of other researchers. Also, the specific conditions of the tests, such as temperature, humidity, etc., were tried to be similar to the environmental conditions of the sampling site. The choice of these contaminant levels is to study the effect of low levels of contamination on the geotechnical properties of the soil, because at high levels of contamination it is taken into account and cleaned up, while at low levels of contamination it can be ignored. Shroff also stated that the maximum percentage of crude oil contamination in soils is about 10% (Shroff, 1997). With the increase of contamination by 9%, the lubrication between the grains reached the maximum level and the further increase of contamination has no effect on the internal friction angle of the soil (khoshgoftar et al., 2021).

A direct shear test according to ASTM D3080 was also performed on contaminated soil

samples using a 10 cm2 box. The shear strength results of the sandy clay soil with a specific gravity of 1.9 g/cm3 were evaluated using the direct shear test data. The results of changes in the parameters of the contaminated soil were extracted evaluated and analyzed using the data available from other researches with the help of appropriate software (Get Data Graph Digitizer). Tables and graphs obtained from the research were compiled. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images are analyzed and compared to study the microstructural behavior of the contaminated soil.

Soil properties and study area

Burnt oil refineries are common in the industrial area of Salafchegan in the province of Qom in Iran. Waste has been buried in this area in the past and soil depth 2 m from these areas were extracted and used for study. The soil samples were collected according to ASTM D422 and have been classified as clayey sand (SC) and the location of the study region is 34°26'43.7"N and 50°27'37.1"E. The soil classification information is shown in Table 1 and the grain size distribution curve is shown in Fig. 1.

Table 1. Properties uncontaminated soil

| Parameter | Coefficient | Grading | Liquid | Plastic | Maximum | Minimum | Natural | Optimum | Contamination |
|-----------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------------------|------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| | of | factor | Limit | Limit | dry unit | dry unit | moisture | moisture | content |
| | uniformity | C_c | LL | PL | weight | weight | content | content | (%) |
| | C_{u} | | (%) | (%) | V _{d max} | Vd min | ω (%) | ω (%) | |
| | | | | | (kN/m^3) | (kN/m^3) | | | |
| Value | 333.9 | 4.52 | 30 | 15 | 20.48 | 14.72 | 3.5 | 8.9 | 0,3,6,9 |
| | | | | | | | | | |




Fig. 1. Grain-size distribution curve of soil

Contaminant properties

The contaminants studied were acidic sludge and dirty filter residue generated as waste from the acidic disposal process used in petroleum refining. The major constituents of the contaminants, as determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis, were ferrum,

cuprum, plumbum, zinc, phosphorus, dust, halogenated compounds, glycols, carbon, polymers, asphaltene, polymethacrylates, phenols, sulfonates, amines, phosphonates, and thiophosphate. The results of XRF testing are shown in Table 2.

| | | | | 1 abic 2. 7 | XIXI COLI | Courts Of | Comain | mamo | | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | L.O.I | Na2O | MgO | Al2O3 | SiO2 | P2O5 | SO3 | Cl | K2O | CaO | Cr | Mo |
| dge | 86.87 | 0.425 | 0.09 | 0.079 | 0.322 | 1.207 | 6.683 | 0.008 | 0.099 | 2.941 | 0.01 | 0.019 |
| Acid Sludge | Mn | Fe2O3 | Ni | Cu | Zn | Sr | Zr | Pb | | | | |
| Ac | 0.007 | 0.428 | 00.04 | 0.018 | 0.784 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.004 | | | | |
| | L.O.I | Na2O | MgO | Al2O3 | SiO2 | P2O5 | SO3 | Cl | K2O | CaO | TiO2 | Mn |
| liter | 37.25 | 0.073 | 1.44 | 6.67 | 47.734 | 0.04 | 2.418 | 0.073 | 0.358 | 3.041 | 0.067 | 0.028 |
| Dirt Filter | Fe2O3 | Ni | Zn | Rb | Sr | Zr | Ba | | | | | |
| | 0.736 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.005 | 0.04 | | | | | |

Table 2. XRF test results on contaminants

Effect of contamination on soil geotechnical parameters

Effect of contamination on soil compaction parameters

Figure 2 shows that, Generally, with the increase of the polluting substance, the maximum dry specific weight of clayey sand soil decreases but when the percentage of water is zero with an increase the hydrocarbon material from the dirt filter residue and acidic sludge to the clayey sand

soil to 4.2% and 5.1%, respectively, the maximum dry specific weight of the soil increased. The reason for this could be the ease of movement of the soil particles relative to each other after they were contaminated with acidic sludge, which reduced the void ratio of the soil. A further increase in the percentage of contaminants filling the pores between the soil grains caused a decrease in the specific dry weight of the soil.




Fig. 2. Maximum specific dry weight of clayey sand soil vs. Contamination content: (a) in current research; (b) in current and other research.

Table 3 and Table A from the appendix show that with the contamination of the soil by hydrocarbon substances and its derivatives, the maximum dry weight of the soil and the optimum moisture content generally decreased. Figures 3 and 4 show these results for soil contaminated with gas oil.

Table 3. Optimal moisture percentage and maximum specific dry weight of soil types contaminated with hydrocarbon and non-carbon materials

| References | Soil type | Type of contamination | Content (%) | curing period | Maximum dry density (MDD) | Optimum moisture content (OMC) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Current Research | SC, Iran | Acid Sludge | 0-3-6-9 | One day | First increased and then decreased | - |
| Fazeli et al. (2019(| SC, Iran | Gas oil | 0-2-4-6 | One month | Decreased | Decreased |
| | | Crude oil | | | Decreased | Decreased |
| Safehian et al. (2016) | CH, Iran | Gas oil | 0-4-8-12- 16-20 | A week | Decreased | First increased and then decreased |
| Khamehchiyan et | SP, Iran | Crude oil | 0-4-8-12- | One | Decreased | Decreased |
| al. (2007) | SM | | 16 | month | Decreased | Decreased |
| | CL | | | | Decreased | Decreased |
| Nasehi et al. (2016) | SP, Iran | Gas oil | 0-3-6-9 | One month | Decreased | Decreased |
| (2010) | CL | | | month | Decreased | Decreased |
| | ML | | | | Decreased | Decreased |
| Abdelhalim et al., (2022) | SM, Malaysia | Engine oil | 0-3-5-8- 10-15-20 | Three days | Increased | Decreased |
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) | SC | | | | Increased | Decreased |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) | ML, Iran | Gasoline | 0-3-6-9-12 | A week | Decreased | Decreased |
| | | | | One month | Decreased | Decreased |
| Karpuzcu et al. (2018) | SC, Turkey | Crude oil | 0-6-12 | two weeks | Decreased | Decreased |

| | CL | | | | Decreased | Decreased |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Hamidi and Karimi (2021) | SC-SM, Iran | Crude oil | 0-3-5-7 | A week | Decreased | Decreased |
| Karkush and Jihad, (2020) Karkush and Jihad (2020) | CH, Iraq | Kerosene | 0-10-20- 30-40-50- 60 | One month | Increased | Decreased |




Fig. 3. Maximum specific dry weight of soil contaminated with gas oil




Fig. 4. Optimal moisture percentage of soil contaminated with gas oil

Shin et al. (1995) and Baiazidi et al. (2013) studied sandy soil contaminated with gas oil and stated that, when gas oil surrounded the soil particles, the capillarity created between the contaminated particles and water caused the soil grains to be trapped. Since boththe water and contaminant have low densities and are nearly incompressible compared to soil, this caused a decrease in the maximum dry weight of the soil. decrease in the optimum moisture percentage resulted from the space between the soil grains being filled with pollutant. The soil then reached the maximum dry weight at a lower optimum moisture percentage. This caused an improvement in the compaction parameters of the soil (Khoshgoftar et al., 2021; Rajaee et al., 2012).

In fine-grained soil, because petroleum compounds have a very low dielectric constant and exhibit non-polarity, they become separated from the surface of the clay grains and the double layer and move into the pore fluid, causing a gap

between the clay particles and acting as a load insulator. This act is electrical and has a negative effect on the electrostatic attraction field of clay particles (fine-grained soil acts like coarse-grained soil) and contributes to a reduction in the amount of water required for compaction of samples (Fazeli et al., 2019).

The addition of a hydrocarbon to the soil will produce about half of the amount of soil compaction that the addition of water will because water molecules are bipolar and hydrocarbon molecules are non-polar and the clay particles do not tend to absorb them (Safehian et al., 2016). Figures. 5 and 6 show that the addition of 12% crude oil to the soil caused a 2.5% decrease in the maximum dry weight and a 50% decrease in the optimum moisture content (Karpuzcu et al., 2018). Karkush and Jihad (2020) and Ghadyani et al. (2019) stated that soil contaminated by hydrocarbon substances will reach the maximum dry weight of the soil at a lower optimum moisture percentage.




Fig. 5. Maximum specific dry weight of soil contaminated with crude oil




Fig. 6. Optimum moisture percentage of soil contaminated with crude oil

Effect of contamination on soil resistance parameters

Internal friction angle and soil cohesion (direct shear test)

When the soil is contaminated with acid sludge, the contaminant settles between the soil particles, and the non-polar acid sludge compounds and active soil surface materials, including SiO2, are bound together by van der Waals interactions. An increase in contaminant content will increase the van der Waals force, resulting in an increase in soil cohesion. Soil cohesion will also increase as the dirt filter residue increases due to the inherent structure of the contaminants. In the current study, the dirt filter residue acted like fine-grained soil when

added to granular soil, which increased soil cohesion. Table 4 shows the increase in soil cohesion with increasing contaminant levels.Other researchers also have reported an increase in soil cohesion due to the high viscosity of hydrocarbon materials, but some researchers also have reported a decrease caused by contamination of the soil with hydrocarbons. Karkush and Kareem (2017) reported a decrease in the cohesion of clay soil with low plasticity properties when contaminated with 20% crude oil. This decrease could be due to a decrease in the dielectric constant and in the double layer thickness of the clay soil and change the structure of the soil to a scattered state (finegrained soil will resemble granular soil).

Table 4. Soil cohesion resulting from dirt filter residue and acidic sludge

| Oil type | Vertical stress (kPa) | Contaminant content | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| | | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | | | |
| Acid sludge | 10-30-50 | 0.13 | 0.225 | 0.167 | 0.192 | | | |
| | 50-100-150 | 0.06 | 0.207 | 0.229 | 0.258 | | | |
| Dirt filter | 10-30-50 | 0.129 | 0.16 | 0.182 | 0.342 | | | |
| | 50-100-150 | 0.06 | 0.393 | 0.364 | 0.5 | | | |

Table 5 shows changes in the internal friction angle of the soil with the addition of both acidic sludge and dirt filter residue. As shown, the acidic sludge hydrocarbons moved between the

soil particles and eliminated direct contact between them. As a result, the soil particles were able to slide relative to each other, resulting in a reduction in the soil friction angle. In addition, the addition of soil filter residue caused the soil grains to be surrounded by a cover of filter particles, which reduced the roughness of the soil particles and the internal friction angle of the soil.

| Table 5. Soil internal | C | 1 1. | C 1' ' | C'1 | • 1 | 1 '1' 1 1 |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Table J. Son internal | HICKIOH ang | ic resumme | HOIH GIR | . I IIICI | i csitute a | na aciaic sinage |
| | | | | | | |

| Oil type | Vertical stress (kPa) | Contaminant content | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----|----|----|--|
| | | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | |
| Acid Sludge | 10-30-50 | 49 | 41 | 39 | 38 | |
| | 50-100-150 | 47 | 41 | 37 | 33 | |
| Dirt filter | 10-30-50 | 49 | 48 | 44 | 43 | |
| | 50-100-150 | 47 | 45 | 34 | 34 | |

Figure 7 shows that the shear strength of soil contaminated with acidic sludge, which is dependent on the combined effects of the internal friction angle and soil cohesion, had no clear and constant trend of change. In general, except at a vertical stress of 0.1 kg/cm², the shear resistance of the soil decreased. It is evident that, with an increase in the vertical stress of the soil, its shear resistance increased as the soil grains grew close together and the grains locked.

Low vertical stresses such as 0.1 kg/cm², contamination caused an increase in the shear

resistance of the soil. At a higher vertical stress, the soil shear resistance decreased when contaminated with pollutant. The reason for this could be that, under a vertical stress of 0.1 kg/cm², the addition of a pollutant to the soil caused filling of the space between the grains and eventually caused them to lock. This increased the shear resistance of the soil. Under vertical stresses, with the closeness of the grains, the addition of a pollutant increased the distance between the grains and made it easier for the soil grains to slide relative to one another. As a result, the shear resistance of the soil decreased.




Fig. 7. Shear strength of soil contaminated with acidic sludge under vertical stress (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 1.5 kg/cm²) at a specific dry weight of 1.9 g/cm³

Figure 8 shows that the shear strength of soil contaminated with dirt filter residue increased at all vertical stresses except 1.5 kg/cm². Under a vertical stress of 0.1 kg/cm², with the addition of

9% dirt filter residue to the soil, its shear strength increased from 0.221 to 0.479 kg/cm².




Fig. 8. Shear strength of soil contaminated with dirt filter under vertical stress (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1 and 1.5 kg/cm²) at specific dry weight of 1.9 g/cm³

Table 6 and Table B in the Appendix show the results for other researchers. The changes in the internal friction angle and cohesion of the contaminated soil generally decreased, depending on the type of soil and the contaminant. For granular soil, lubrication between the grains which caused sliding of the grains and a decrease in the internal friction angle. Saberian and Khabiri (2016) reported an 18% decrease in the internal friction angle with the addition of 8% of gas oil to poorly grained sandy soil.

Khoshgoftar et al., (2021) reported that the addition of 9% acidic sludge and dirt filter

residue from burnt oil treatment decreased the tightness of the connection between the soil particles. The roughness of the soil particles and the ease with which the soil grains slid relative to one another caused a 24% decrease in the internal friction angle at a compression level of 1.7 g/cm³ under vertical stresses of 50, 100 and 150 kPa. In fine-grained soil, the internal friction angle generally increased because flocculation of the clay soil with the increase of hydrocarbon pollutants (Khamehchiyan et al., 2007). Clay with a low plasticity property of 16% showed an 8° increase in the internal friction angle.

Table 6. Cohesion and internal friction angles of soil contaminated with hydrocarbons by maximum specific dry weight density


| References | Curing period | Vertical stress (kPa) | Content (%) | Type of contamination | Soil type | Soil friction angle (°) | Soil cohesion (kPa) |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Current Research | One day | 50-100-150 | 0 3 6 9 | Acid sludge | SC | 42 35 34 34 | 11 13.5 21 26.3 |
| | | | 0 3 6 9 | Dirt filter | | 42 41 40 34 | 26.5 26.7 16.9 |
| | A week | 50-75-100 | 0 | Crude oil | CL | 27 | 24.2 |

| Shirdel and Makarchian (2020) Abdelhalim et al. (2022) | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------|---------|----|-----------|----|----|------|
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Abdelhalim et al. (2023) Base of the properties | Shirdel and | | | 10 | | | 27 | 28.3 |
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Three days Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Barrier days Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Three days Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Barrier days Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Three days Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Barrier days Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Three days Abdelhalim et al. (2024) Abdelhalim et al. (2024) Abdelhalim et al. (2024) Three days Three | Makarchian (2020) | | | 20 | | | 31 | 27.9 |
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) Abdelhalim et al. (43.7 Abdelhalim et al. (40.7 Abdelhalim et al. (43.7 Abdelhalim et al. (43.7 Abdelhalim et al. (40.7 Abdelhalim et al. (40 | | | | 30 | | | 24 | 27 |
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) | | | | 0 | Gas oil | 1 | 27 | 24.2 |
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) | | | | 10 | | | 29 | 23.4 |
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) **Abdelhalim et al. (2021) **Abdelhalim et al. (202) * | | | | 20 | | | 35 | 22.6 |
| Continue of the second of th | | | | 30 | | | * | * |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) | | | | 0 | Motor oil | SM | 40 | 17.5 |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) | (2022) | days | 43.7 | 3 | | | 37 | 17.9 |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) A week (2021) A week (2021) A month (2021) A week (2021) | | | | 5 | | | 33 | 18.6 |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) A week (2021) A week month One month One month 12 15 | | | | 8 | | | 32 | 18.6 |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) | | | | 10 | | | 29 | 19.1 |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) | | | | 0 | | SC | 35 | 35 |
| Nazdi and Sharifi (2021) A week (2021) A | | | | 3 | | | 30 | 35.7 |
| Yazdi and Sharifi (2021) A week (2021) A week (2021) One month One month One month A week (2021) One month One month One month A week (2021) One month On | | | | 5 | | | 28 | 33.9 |
| One month Kerosene CH | | | | 8 | | | 27 | 31.3 |
| (2021) Concession of the month Concessi | | | | 10 | | | 25 | 26.4 |
| Cone month Con | | A week | 10-2040 | 0 | Gasoline | ML | 24 | 20 |
| Second Part | (2021) | | | 3 | | | 22 | 23 |
| 12 | | | | 6 | | | 20 | 28 |
| One month | | | | 9 | | | 19 | 30 |
| month 3 6 9 12 16 22 3 35 5.6 33 6.4 9 32 6.8 12 31 7.1 15 30 7.3 Karkush and Jihad, (2020) One month 0 Kerosene CH 21 53 20 41 10 20 41 18 36 | | | | 12 | | | 18 | 32 |
| Sample S | | | | 0 | | | 24 | 20 |
| 12 16 22 35 5.6 33 6.4 32 6.8 31 7.1 30 7.3 | | month | | 3 | | | 21 | 19.7 |
| 12 16 22 35 5.6 33 6.4 32 6.8 32 6.8 31 7.1 30 7.3 | | | | 6 | | | 18 | 20.1 |
| Sample S | | | | 9 | | | 17 | 20.8 |
| 6 33 6.4 32 6.8 31 7.1 30 7.3 | | | | 12 | | | 16 | 22 |
| 9 32 6.8 31 7.1 30 7.3 | | | | 3 | | | 35 | 5.6 |
| 12 31 7.1 30 7.3 | | | | 6 | | | 33 | 6.4 |
| Narkush and Jihad, One month 15 | | | | 9 | | | 32 | 6.8 |
| Karkush and Jihad,)2020) One month 0 Kerosene CH 21 53 10 20 41 20 18 36 | | | | 12 | | | 31 | 7.1 |
|)2020) month 10 20 41 18 36 | | | | 15 | | | 30 | 7.3 |
| 20 18 36 | | | | 0 | Kerosene | СН | 21 | 53 |
| |)2020) | month | | 10 | | | 20 | 41 |
| 30 18 32 | | | | 20 | | | 18 | 36 |
| | | | | 30 | | | 18 | 32 |

California bearing ratio (CBR)

One of the most important soil resistance tests for evaluation of contaminated soil for use in road construction is the CBR test. With an increase in the percentage of hydrocarbon pollutants in the soil, the CBR will generally decrease because of the effect of lubrication between the soil grains which allows them to slide relative to each other. Table 7 shows some of the most important studies in this area. As can be seen, the soil resistance generally decreased in soil contaminated with hydrocarbons and the granular and clay soils behaved differently. Figure 9 shows the CBR values for sandy and clay soils contaminated with burnt engine oil, which revealed that the CBR for all types of sandy soil initially increased and then decreased.

surrounding the particles per unit volume was higher and caused more slippage of particles and, subsequently, a greater decrease in the CBR compared to granular soil.



In clay soil, with a high specific surface area for the clay particles, the amount of pollutant

Fig. 9. CBR vs. increase in burnt oil residue for all soil types

Table 7. CBR of soil contaminated with hydrocarbons

| References | Soil type | Type of contamination | Content (%) | curing period | CBR |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Abdelhalim et al. (2022) | SM | Motor oil | 0-3-5-8-10 | Three days | Decreased |
| | SC | | | | First decreased and then increased |
| Al-Sanad et al. (1995) | SP | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6 | | First increased and then decreased |
| Anele (2015) | CL | Waste engine oil | 0-3-6-9-12 | Three day | Decreased |
| Rasheed et al., (2014) | SPSM | Gas oil | 0-3-5-7.5 | One day | Decreased |
| | | Kerosene | - | | |
| Choura et al., (2009) | SP | Crude oil | 0-5-10-15 | | Increased |
| Hewayde et al., (2019) | OL | Engine oil | 0-5-10-15 | | Decreased |
| Alhassan and Fagge, | SP | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6 | | Decreased |
| (2013) | | Used engine oil | | | Decreased |
| | CL | Crude oil | - - | | Decreased |
| | | Used engine oil | 1 | | Decreased |
| Karpuzcu et al., (2018) | CL | Crude oil | 0-6-12 | Two weeks | Decreased |
| | SC | | | | Increased |

Effect of oil contamination on Atterberg limits

When the soil is contaminated with the hydrocarbons found in oil and its derivatives, changes in the repulsive force, cation exchange capacity and viscosity cause changes in the plastic limit, liquid limit and plasticity index of the soil. Table 8 and Table C in the Appendix show the Atterberg limits by the type of soil contaminated based on the type of pollutant, duration of pollution and type of soil. In general, with an increase in the soil contamination, leading to an increase in cohesion between the soil grains, the Atterberg limits increased. With an increase in pollution, the Atterberg limits

decreased slightly and then remained the same. With an increase in the length of the contamination period, the Atterberg limits decreased.

As can be seen in Figure 10, with the passage of time (about 1 month) after gasoline pollution, the liquid limit of the soil decreased compared to a shorter period (one week) (Yazdi and sharifi, 2021).

Table 8. Atterberg limits of soil types contaminated with hydrocarbon and non-carbon materials

| References | Soil type | Type of contamination | Content (%) | Curing period | Plastic limit (PL) | Liquid limit (LL) | Plastic index (PI) |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|---|
| Fazeli et al., | SC | Gas oil | 0-2-4 | | Decreased | Decreased | Decreased |
| (2019) | Iran | Crude oil | | | Decreased | Decreased | Decreased |
| Roshanqhiyas and Bagheripour, (2019) Roshanqhiyas and Bagheripour (2019) | CL Iran | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6- 8-10 | One month | Decreased | Decreased | Decreased |
| Zomorodian et al., (2017) | CH Iran | Gas oil | 4-8-12- 16 | A week | First decreased and then increased | Increased and then decreased | Increased and then decreased |
| Hamidi and Jeda | СН | Kerosene | 0-3-6-9 | A week | Increased | | |
| ri, (2013) Hamidi and | Iran | Gas oil | | | Increased | | |
| Jedari, (2013) | CL | Kerosene | | | Increased | | |
| | Iran | Gas oil | | | Increased | | |
| Abdelhalim et al., (2022) | SC Malaysia | Motor oil | 0-3-5-8- 10 | Three days | Decreased | Decreased | Decreased |
| | SM Malaysia | | | | Decreased | Decreased | Decreased |
| Yazdi and sharifi, (2021) | ML Iran | Gasoline | 0-3-6-9- 12 | A week | Up to 6% pollution increased and then decreased | Up to 6% pollution increased and then decreased | Up to 6% pollution increased and then decreased |
| | | | | One month | Up to 6% pollution increased and then decreased | Up to 6% pollution increased and then decreased | Up to 6% pollution increased and then decreased |
| Kermani and | CL | Crude oil | 0-4-8-12 | A week | Increased | Increased | Decreased |
| Ebadi, (2012) Karkush and Kareem, (2017) | Iran CL Iraq | Fuel oil | 0-10-20 | Four days | Increased | Decreased | Decreased |
| Hamidi and Karimi, (2021) | SC-SM Iran | Crude oil | 0-3-5-7 | A week | Increased | Increased | Increased |
| Eissa (2016) | CH Egypt | Gasoline | 0-2-4-8- 10-16 | Ten days | Decreased | Decreased | Decreased |

| Karkush and Jihad, (2020) | CH Iraq | Kerosene | 0-10-20- 30-40- 50-60 | One month | Increased | Increased | Increased |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Singh et al., | СН | Diesel oil | 0-3-6-9 | | | | Increased |
| (2008) | India | Gasoline | | | | | Increased |
| | | Kerosene | | | | | Decreased |
| | CL | Diesel oil | | | | | Increased |
| | | Gasoline | 1 | | | | Increased |
| | | Kerosene | | | | | Decreased |




Fig. 10. Liquid and plastic limits of ML soil contaminated with gasoline

Figures 11, 12, 13 and 14 show the trend of change in the plastic and liquid limits from the data of other researchers. Comparison of the data for clay with high plastic properties and clay with low plastic properties allow evaluation of the changes in the Atterberg limits. Figure 11 shows that the plastic limit of clay with high plastic properties contaminated with

hydrocarbons showed a slight increase with an increase in the duration of contamination. This could be because most hydrocarbons are not soluble in water and their physical dielectric, water and soil suspension characteristics will remain constant (Ahmed et al., 2007; Zomorodian et al., 2017). Eissa (2016), unlike other researchers, showed a 50% decrease in the clay limit with the addition of 10% gasoline.




Fig. 11. Soil plastic limit of soil CH based on type of contaminant

Figure 12 shows that changes in the Atterberg limits depend on the types of soil and pollutant. Singh (2008) showed a 14% increase in the liquid limit of the soil with a 9% increase in diesel oil contamination that could be attributed to the high viscosity of the fluid which increased cohesion between the clay particles. In order to change the phase from solid to liquid and overcome stickiness, more water was required.

Hamidi and Jedari (2013) reported that, with the addition of gas oil to the soil, the liquid limit initially increased from 85 to 95 and then subsequently decreased to 93 because of the non-polarity of gas oil molecules. Unlike water, gas oil molecules are not able to form a double layer with the soil. As a result, the moisture content initially increased. Then, because of the lubricating property of gas oil, the moisture content decreased (Zomorodian et al., 2017).




Fig. 12. Liquid limit of soil CH based on type of contamination

Figure 13 shows changes in the plastic limit of clay soil with low plasticity that has been contaminated with oil and its derivatives. Rajaee et al. (2012) contaminated the soil with 10% used engine oil and recorded a 22% decrease in the plastic limit of the soil. He stated that the decrease in the plastic limit was caused by the non-polarity of the used engine oil molecules, which were hydrophobic. When contaminated,

clay particles became surrounded by a thin layer of petroleum which prevented water from reacting with the charged clay particles. As a result, the double layer of water that usually forms around the clay became very thin, which led to a reduction in the repulsive forces. With the coagulation of the soil, the behavior of fine-grained soil became like that of coarse-grained soil.




Fig. 13. CL soil plastic limit by type of contamination

Figure 14 shows the changes in the liquid limit of clay soil with an increase in the percentage of different pollutants. Kermani and Ebadi (2012) added 0%, 4%, 8% and 12% crude oil to the soil

and recorded liquid limits 46, 53, 59 and 62, respectively. Singh et al. (2008), on the contrary, showed a decrease in the liquid limit of the soil contaminated with kerosene from 33 to 26.




Fig. 14. Liquid limit of CL soil by type of contamination

Effect of oil contamination on soil permeability

Table 9 and Table D in the appendix show that, with the contamination of all types of soil with hydrocarbons, the permeability generally decreases. Iloeje (2016) recorded a decrease 27% with 8% crude oil contamination of clay soil. The reason for the decrease in permeability can be due to the filling of the space between the soil grains by the hydrocarbon materials, which are of high viscosity and make movement

through the space between the soil grains more difficult. Baiazidi et al. (2013) and Gillott (1987) reported that, because of the hydrophobic nature of hydrocarbons, they form an impermeable curtain in front of the water and some of the water's energy is used to tear this hydrocarbon layer. They also stated that, in fine-grained soil, when water-soluble materials enter the waterrich soil particles, water and other cations that surround the water-rich layer (double layer of clay minerals) may be absorbed by the components. The substitutes for the organic

molecules can enter the interlayer spaces and cause swelling of the clay layer.

Some researchers have reported that, with soil pollution by hydrocarbons, the dielectric constant and the thickness of the double layer will decrease, which will cause floculation of

the soil particles and increase the space and permeability between the grains (Hamidi and Karimi, 2021; Ostovar et al., 2021). As this pollutant has a high pH, soil particles could dissolve in it, which would increase the space between the grains and the soil permeability.

Table 9. Permeability of soil types contaminated with hydrocarbon substances

| D.C. | | | • • • | | <u>.</u> | I DOII SUBSTAIRCES |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---|
| References | Soil type | Type of | Content | Curing | Main | Mechanism |
| | | contamination | (%) | period | results | |
| | | | | | | |
| Baiazidi et | GM | Diesel | 0-3-6-8-11 | | Decreased | Due to the hydrophobicity of |
| al., (2013) | Iran | Dieser | 0 3 0 0 11 | | Beereusea | hydrocarbon materials and the |
| | SM | | | | Decreased | swelling of clay particles |
| | Iran | | | | | |
| Oyediran | SW | Crude oil | 0-10 | Two | Decreased | Due to the high viscosity of crude |
| and Enya, | Nigeria | | | months | | oil and the filling of the empty |
| (2022) | | | | | | space between the grains |
| Hamidi | SC-SM | Crude oil | 0-3-5-7 | Δ. | Increased | With the increase in melluting doc |
| and | SC-SM Iran | Crude on | 0-3-3-7 | A week | increased | With the increase in pollution, due to the decrease in the dielectric |
| Karimi, | II all | | | WEEK | | constant and the thickness of the |
| (2021) | | | | | | double layer of the coagulated soil |
| (====) | | | | | | grains, the space between the |
| | | | | | | grains increases. |
| Iloeje | CL | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6-8 | 24 | Decreased | Filling the empty space between |
| (2016) | Nigeria | | | hours | | the grands |
| | | | | | | |
| Ostovar et | SW | Crude oil | 0-4-8-12- | 72 | First | First, the oil caused lubrication |
| al., (2021) | D W | Clude oil | 16 | hours | increased | between the grains and K |
| u, (2021) | | | 10 | 110415 | and then | increased, and finally, by filling |
| | | | | | decreased | the empty space between the |
| | SP | | | | First | grains, K decreased. |
| | | | | | increased | |
| | | | | | and then | |
| | | _ | | | decreased | |
| | SM | | | | First | |
| | | | | | increased | |
| | | | | | and then | |
| - | SC | - | | | decreased Increased | With the increase in pollution, due |
| | SC | | | | mereased | to the decrease in the dielectric |
| | | | | | | constant and the thickness of the |
| | | | | | | double layer of the coagulated soil |
| | | | | | | grains, the space between the |
| | | | | | | grains increases. |
| Jedari and | CL | Kerosene | 0-3-6-9 | A | Decreased | Due to the high viscosity of |
| Farahani, | Iran | Gas oil | | week | Decreased | hydrocarbon materials, water flow |
| (2018) | СН | Kerosene | | | Decreased | becomes difficult |
| | Iran | Gas oil | | | Decreased | |
| | | S 011 | | l | 2 ccroased | |

Effect of oil on soil structure

Clay particle placement can be categorized as mass or complex, coagulated or non-coagulated.

(Lagaly, 1978; Mohammadi et al., 2021; Mohammadi et al., 2022). Therefore, the particles tend to be oriented face-to-face in a

dispersed or divergent structure. If the forces are attractive, the particles tend to be oriented corner-to-face or corner-to-corner depending on the complex structure of the particles (Mitchell, 1976).

Considering that the structure of soil particles depends on the charge capacity of the electrostatic field, when clay is contaminated by hydrocarbons, their low dielectric constant and non-polarity will reduce the absorption capacity and force between the particles. This has a function similar to insulation; thus, it will affect the overall structure of the clay particles. As a

result, the electrostatic field between the particles will push them towards repulsion and the degree of flocculation of the structure will decrease, giving it a scattered appearance (Fazeli et al., 2019).

Figure 15 shows that, with the addition of crude oil contamination to clay soil, the stickiness and low dielectric constant of the crude oil will cause a decrease in the double layer thickness that will cause edge-to-edge or edge-to-side formation of the soil particles. This means that, practically, the soil will become coagulated or flocculated.




Fig. 15. SEM images of soil texture at 10,000× for uncontaminated samples and 20% crude oil contamination (Kangani et al., 2012).

Figure 16 shows that the addition of crude oil pollutants to a mixture of sandy and clay soil caused it to become flocculated with a scattered structure. The specific surface area of the soil

decreased. This decreased the specific surface area of the soil and indicated a decrease in the resistance of the soil affected by the crude oil contamination (Vaziri et al., 2020).




Fig. 16. SEM images of soil texture at 20000× of samples of: (a) 60% kaolinite + 40% sand; (b) 60% kaolinite + 40% sand + 16% crude oil (Ghadimi, 2018)

In granular soil, unlike fine-grained soil where the structure of the soil changes, the contaminant will surround the soil grains. The high viscosity of the hydrocarbon materials will cause lubrication between the soil grains and ultimately lead to a decrease in the surface tension between soil grains.

Discussion

The effect of the contamination is greater in fine-grained soil than in coarse-grained soil (Ijimdiya, 2013). This effect is physical in granular soil and changes the soil texture and structure in cohesive fine-grained soil. With the contamination of the soil by hydrocarbons, the trend of change in the Atterberg limits generally increases but, in some cases, decreases. The high viscosity and non-polarity of the petroleum molecules surrounding the soil particles prevents the formation of a double layer. This means that more water is required to change the shape of the soil, which will cause an increase in the Atterberg limits. Also, decreases in the cation exchange capacity and the dielectric constant

cause coagulation of the soil and a decrease in the Atterberg limits.

The contamination of soil with petroleum substances will either decrease or increase the maximum specific dry weight of the soil. The increase occurs because the high viscosity of pollutants makes them act as a lubricant that allows the particles to slide relative to each other and become closer and denser. However, the decrease in the maximum specific dry weight of the soil occurs because of the lower density of the pollutants compared to water, as well as the energy loss due to their presence during the compaction test.

Contamination of soil with hydrocarbon substances generally reduces the optimal moisture content as the empty space between the soil grains are filled by hydrocarbon particles and reduce the spacing between the clay particles because of the low dielectric constant of the pollutants. Research conducted on permeability of the soil has shown that the filling of the empty spaces between the soil grains by hydrocarbon pollutants and their high viscosity and

hydrophobicity generally causes a decrease in permeability.

Based on studies conducted on the resistance behavior of soil contaminated with hydrocarbon substances, it can be said that the effect of lubrication between the soil grains and sliding of the soil grains relative to one another will generally cause a decrease in the CBR. The internal friction angle of granular soil also will decrease due to the sliding of the soil grains relative to one another. In fine-grained soils, the internal friction angle generally increases because of the flocculation of the clay soil. The soil cohesion will increase because of the high viscosity of the hydrocarbon materials; however, in some cases, the decrease in the dielectric constant and the double layer thickness will cause a change in structure. The soil will become dispersed and the cohesion of the soil will decrease.

An investigation of the effect of pollutants on the behavior of the soil indicates that it will be affected by various factors. These include the type and percentage of pollutant, the duration of the pollution and the type of soil. The permeability of the soil will decrease and the cohesion and Atterberg limits of the soil will increase.

It should be noted that the presence of oil in finegrained soils leads to chemical reactions between the oil and the soil, depending on its mineral type.

Conclusion

The main results of the current study are as follows:

- Soil contamination by hydrocarbon substances affects the structure and geotechnical parameters of the soil, but these changes differ according to the type of soil, type of pollutant and the duration of pollution.
- The rate of change of some of the geotechnical parameters of the contaminated soil decreased with the passage of a long time (one month compared to one week), but the rate of change of other parameters increased.

- Soil contamination with 4.2% acid sludge increased the maximum unit dry weight from 18.85 to 19.23 kN/m3 due to the lubricating effect of the acid sludge, which reduced the soil void ratio. The hydrocarbons in the acid sludge fit between the soil particles, eliminating strong interparticle bonds. They act as a lubricant, making it easier for particles to slide past each other and reducing the soil friction angle. The 5.1% dirt filter contaminant level increased the maximum dry unit weight of the soil from 19.13 to 19.56 kN/m3.- The low dielectric constant and non-polarity of hydrocarbon contaminants reduced the double layer thickness in clay, causing it to flocculate and form a granular soil structure.
- As the clay soil was contaminated, the changes in the Atterberg boundaries generally increased.
- In granular soil, the contaminant surrounded the soil grains and, due to its high viscosity, caused lubrication of the soil grains, which reduced the internal angle of friction and increased the compaction parameters of the soil. Generally, the angle of internal friction of soil contaminated with oil substances is reduced and the cohesion of soil could be increased, and the shear strength of soil depends on the type of polluting substance can increase or decrease.

Contamination by hydrocarbon substances caused filling of the empty spaces between the soil grains. This, along with the high viscosity of the petroleum substances caused a general decrease in permeability.

References

Abdelhalim, R. A., Ramli, H., & Selamat, M. R. (2022). Investigating the impacts of oil contamination on geotechnical properties of laterite soils. Innovative Infrastructure Solutions, 7(321). https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-022-00901-0

- Adeoye, O., Olatokunbo, O., & Ademola, A., (2015). Effect of crude oil contamination on the index properties, strength and permeability of lateritic soil. New York Science Journal, 8(10), 82-86
- Afsari, E., Ali Raisi, A., & Amini, M., (2021). Effect of Glycerol and Acetone on the physical and mechanical properties of a clay soil. Journal of Engineering Geology, 15(3), 361-378
- Aghajani, S., Katebi, H., Akhlagi, T., & Moradi, G. H. (2017). Crude oil spill effect on Geotechnical properties of the Tabriz Refinery's soil. MSc thesis, University of Tabriz Faculty of Civil Engineering Department of Geotechnical Engineering, Tabriz-Iran (IN Persian)
- Ahmadi, M., Ebadi, T., & Maknoon, R. (2021). Effects of crude oil contamination on geotechnical properties of sand-kaolinite mixtures. Engineering Geology, 283, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106021
- Ahmed, H., Abduljauwad, S., & Akram, T. (2007). Geotechnical behavior of oil-contaminated fine-grained soils. Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 1-12.
- Al-Rawas, A. A., Hago, A.W., & Al-Sarmi, H. (2005). Effect of lime, cement and Sarooj (artificial pozzolan) on the swelling potential of an expansive soil from Oman. Building and Environment, 40, 681-687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.08.028
- Al-Sanad, H. A., Eid, W.K., & smael, N. F. (1995).

 Geotechnical properties of oil-contaminated Kuwaiti sand. Journal of geotechnical engineering, 121(5), 407-412. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1995)121:5(407)
- Alhassan, H. M., & Fagge, S. A., (2013). Effects of crude oil, low point pour fuel oil and vacuum gas oil contamination on the geotechnical properties sand, clay and laterite soils. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, 3(1), 1947-1954.
- Anele, O. (2015). Effect of waste engine oil contamination on geotechnical properties of clay soil. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, 28-38.
- Askarbioki, M., KargaranBafghi, F., Mokhtari, M., & Khaleghi, M. (2019). Impact of gasoline contamination on mechanical behavior of sandy clay soil. Journal of Mining and Environment, 10 (2), 389-399. https://doi.org/10.22044/jme.2019.7660.1622

- ASTM D3080. (2003). Standard Test Methods for Direct Shear Test of Soils Under Consolidated Drained Conditions. ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials), West Conshohocken, PA. https://doi.org/10.1520/D3080-03
- ASTM D422-63. (2003). Standard Test Methods for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils. ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials), West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D698-12E2. (2007). Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort (12 400 ft-lbf/ft3 (600 kN-m/m3)). ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials), West Conshohocken, PA. https://doi.org/10.1520/D0698-12E02
- Baiazidi, O., Mohammadi, S. D., & Tajik, M. (2013). Investigation of gasoil contamination effect on the engineering properties of surface soils around the Hamedan oil storage. MSc thesis, University of BUAli Sina Faculty of Sciences Department of Geology, Hamedan-Iran (IN Persian).
- Choura, M., Salhi, S., & Cherif, F. (2009). Mechanical behaviour study of soil polluted by crude oil: case of Sidi El Itayem oilfield, Sfax, Tunisia. Environmental earth sciences, 59(3), 573-580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0055-z
- Cyrus, S., Kumar, T., Abraham, B., Sridharan, A., & Jos, B. (2010). Effect of industrial wastes on the physical and engineering properties of soils. Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference GEOtrendz, 16-18.
- Eissa, A. M. (2016). Effects of gasoline-contamination on geotechnical properties of clayey soil. African Young Geotechnical Engineers Conference, 16(12).
- Errington, I., King, C. K., Houlahan, S., George, S. C., Michie, A., & Hose, G. C. (2018). The influence of vegetation and soil properties on springtail communities in a diesel-contaminated soil. The Science of the Total Environment, 619-620. 1098-1104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.186
- Fazeli, G., Farrokhi, F., & Firoozfar, A. (2019). Determination and evaluation of bearing capacity of clay soil contaminated with oil pollutants and studying the geotechnical characteristics of the substrate. MSc thesis, University of Tabriz Faculty of Civil Engineering, Zanjan-Iran (IN Persian).
- Fine, P., Graber, E., & Yaron, B. (1997). Soil interactions with petroleum hydrocarbons: abiotic

- processes. Soil Technology, 10(2), 133-153. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0933-3630(96)00088-8
- George, S., Ea, A., Sabu, B., Np, K., & George, M. (2014). Study on Geotechnical Properties of Diesel Oil Contaminated Soil. International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, 2, 113-117.
 - https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.17938.58564
- Ghadimi, N. (2018). Investigation of the Effect of Organic Contaminants on the Strength Parameters of Sandy Clay Soil. MSc thesis, University of University of Mohaghegh Ardabili Faculty of Civil Engineering, Ardabil-Iran (IN Persian).
- Ghadyani, M., Hamidi, A., & Hatambeigi, M. (2019).
 Triaxial shear behaviour of oil contaminated clays. European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering, 23(1), 112-135.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2016.1271359
- Gillott, J. E. (1987). Clay in engineering geology, second ed. Elsevier Science Limited
- Haghsheno, H., & Arabani, M. (2022). Geotechnical properties of oil-polluted soil: a review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(22), 32670-32701. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19418-1
- Haji, H. (2016). The effect of scale on the results of direct shear tests on Coarse - grained soils contaminated with petroleum products. M. Sc. Thesis, Qom University, Qom–Iran (IN Persian), 131p
- Hamidi, A., & Jedari, S. (2013). Investigating The Consolidation Behavior of Contaminated Clay. Sharif Journal of Civil Engineering, (IN Persian), 29(2), 29-35.
- Hamidi, A., & Karimi, A. H. (2021). Effect of Phytoremediation on Compression Characteristics of Silty Clayey Sand Contaminated with Crude Oil. International Journal of Civil Engineering, 19(8), 995-973. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40999-021-00609-9
- Hewayde, E., Eng, P., Abbas, M., & Kubba, Z. (2019). Influence of engine oil on geotechnical properties of cohesive soil. International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology, 12(1), 33-41.
- Ijimdiya, T. (2013). The effects of oil contamination on the consolidation properties of lateritic soil. Development and Applications of Oceanic Engineering, 2(2), 53-59.
- Iloeje, A., & Aniago, V. (2016). Effect of crude oil on permeability properties of the soil. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and

- Development, 1(1), 39-43. https://doi.org/10.31142/ijtsrd5724
- Jedari, C., & Farahani, M. (2018). Permeability and compression characteristics of clay contaminated with kerosene and gasoil. International Journal of Architecture, Urbanism and Civil Engineering, 1(3), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.33544/mjauce. v1i3.72
- Jukic, A. (2013). Petroleum Refining and Petrochemical Processes Crude oil: composition, classification. Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Technology University of Zagreb, 37-46.
- Kangani, M. (2012). Investigating the mechanical properties of soils contaminated with crude oil.
 MSc thesis, University of Water and Electricity Industry Faculty of Water and Environmental Engineering, Tehran-Iran (IN Persian).
- Karkush, M. O., & Jihad, A. G. (2020). Studying the geotechnical properties of clayey soil contaminated by kerosene. Key engineering materials, 857, 383-393. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM. 857.383
- Karkush, M.O., & Kareem, Z. A. (2017).
 Investigation of the Impacts of Fuel Oil on the Geotechnical Properties of Cohesive Soil.
 Engineering Journal, 21(4), 127-137.
 https://doi.org/10.4186/ej.2017.21.4.127
- Karpuzcu, M., Arbili, M., Ghaffoori, F., & Cabalar, A. (2018). An Assessment of the Effects of Light Crude Oil on the Geotechnical Properties of Asoil. International Journal of Advances in Mechanical and Civil Engineering, 5, 1-4.
- Kermani, M., & Ebadi, T. (2012). The effect of oil contamination on the geotechnical properties of fine-grained soils. Soil and Sediment Contamination: An International Journal, 21(5), 655-671.
 - https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2012.672486
- Khamehchiyan, M., Charkhabi, A. H., & Tajik, M. (2007). Effects of crude oil contamination on geotechnical properties of clayey and sandy soils. Engineering geology, 89(3-4), 220-229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2006.10.009
- Khoshgoftar, A., Khodaparast, M., & Sedighi, M. (2021). Effect of residues from a burnt oil refinery on the compaction parameters and strength of clayey sand. Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 80(8), 6331-6341. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-021-02320-4
- Khosravi, E., Ghasemzadeh, H., Sabour, M.R., & Yazdani, H. (2013). Geotechnical properties of

- gas oil-contaminated kaolinite. Engineering Geology, 166, 11-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.08.004
- Lagaly, G. (1978) H. van Olphen: An Introduction to Clay Colloid Chemistry. second ed, John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney, Wiley Online Library. https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.197800022
- Liu, Z., Liu, S., & Cai, Y. (2015). Engineering property test of kaolin clay contaminated by diesel oil. Journal of Central South University, 22(12), 4837-4843. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11771-015-3035-3
- Mitchell, j. k. (1976). Fundamentals of Soil Behavior. NewYork: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Mohamed, C., Salhi, S., & Cherif, F. (2009). Mechanical behaviour study of soil polluted by crude oil: Case of Sidi El Itayem oilfield, Sfax, Tunisia. Environmental earth sciences, 59, 573-580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0055-z
- Mohammadi, M., Khodaparast, M., & Rajabi, A. M. (2021). Effect of nano calcium carbonate (nano CaCO3) on the strength and consolidation properties of clayey sand soil. Road Materials and Pavement Design, 23 (10), 2394-2415. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2021.1976255
- Mohammadi, M., Rajabi AM, Khodaparast M. (2022). Experimental and Numerical Evaluation of the Effect of Nano Calcium Carbonate on Geotechnical Properties of Clayey Sand Soil. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 26 (1), 35-46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-021-1914-8
- Mohammadi, S. D., & Hosseinabadi, E. (2019). Investigation of Gasoil Contamination Effect on the Erodibility of Soils Rich- lime Around the Hamedan Oil Storage using Rainfall Simulator. Journal of Engineering Geology, 13(2), 289-316 https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.jeg.13.2.289
- Nasehi, S. A., Uromeihy, A., Nikudel, M. R., & Morsali, A. (2016). Influence of Gas Oil Contamination on Geotechnical Properties of Fine and Coarse-Grained Soils, Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, 34 (1), 333-345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-015-9948-7
- Nudelman, N., Rios, I., & atusich, O. (2002). Fate of the oil residuals in Patagonian soils effects of the environmental exposure time. Journal of Environmental Risk Assessment and Remediation, 3, 1-8.
- Ostovar, M., Ghiassi, R., Mehdizadeh, M. J. & Shariatmadari, N. (2021). Effects of Crude Oil on Geotechnical Specification of Sandy Soils. Soil

- and Sediment Contamination: An International Journal, 30(1), 58-73. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2020.1792410
- Oyediran, I., & Enya, N. (2022). Crude oil Effects on Some Engineering Properties of Sandy Alluvial Soil. International Journal of Mining and Geological Engineering, 56 ,7-10. https://doi.org/10.22059/IJMGE.2020.283051.59
- Prasanna, G., & Manoharan, S. (2016). A review on effect of crude oil on the geotechnical properties of soil. International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology ,3, 1234-1236.
- Puri, V, K. (2000). Geotechnical Aspects of Oil-Contaminated Sands. Journal of Soil Contamination, 9(4), 359-374. https://doi.org/10.1080/10588330091134301
- Rahgooy, K., Rasouli, A., Mardpour, S., Bahamanpour, A., & Emami, F. (2024).

 Numerical Analysis of the Effect of Crude Oil Pollution on Vertical Clay Trenches. Soil and Sediment Contamination: An International Journal, 33(8), 1440–1462. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2024.2311654
- Rajaee, F., Lashkaripour, G. R., Nikudel, M. R., & Ghfoori, M. (2012). Investigation of engean-oil contamination effect ongeothechnical properties of Soil. MSc thesis, Ferdowsi University, Mashhad –Iran (IN Persian).
- Rasheed, Z., Ahmed, F., & Jassim, H. (2014). Effect of crude oil products on the geotechnical properties of soil. WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, 186, 353-361. https://doi.org/10.2495/ESUS140301
- Riser-Roberts, E. (1998). Remediation of petroleum contaminated soils: biological, physical, and chemical processes: CRC Press
- Roshanqhiyas, M., & Bagheripour, M. (2019). Effect of Crude Oil Contamination on the Geotechnical Properties of Kaolinite Clay soil in the Road. Transportation Infrastructure Engineering, 5, 101-112.
 - https://doi.org/10.22075/JTIE.2019.17368.1371
- Saberian, M., & Khabiri, M. M. (2016). Effect of oil pollution on function ofsandy soils in protected deserts and investigation of their improvement guidelines (case study: Kalmand area, Iran). Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 40(1), 243–254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-016-9897-y
- Safehian, H., & Rajabi, A. (2016). A study on effect of crude oil contamination on strength properties

- of clayey soil consisting of two different minerals. MSc thesis, Qom University, Qom–Iran (IN Persian).
- Sarmadi, M. S., Zohrevand, P., & Rezaee, M. (2019). Effect of kerosene contamination on the physical and mechanical properties of sandy soil. Innovative Infrastructure Solutions, 4, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-019-0196-1
- Shin, E. C., Lee, I. B., & Das, B. M. (1995). Bearing capacity of a model seal footing on crude oil contaminated sand. Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, 17(9).
- Shirdel, M., & Makarchian, M. (2020). Investigation of the Impact of Considerable Oil Contamination on Mechanical Properties of Fine-Grained Soils. Marine Engineering, 16(3), 97-106. https://doi.org/10.29252/marineeng.16.32.97
- Singh, S., Srivastava, R., & John, S. (2008). Settlement characteristics of clayey soils contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons. Soil and sediment contamination, 17(3), 290-300. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320380802007028
- Singh, S., Srivastava, R., & John, S. (2009). Studies on soil contamination due to used motor oil and its remediation. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 46(9), 1077-1083. https://doi.org/10.1139/T09-047
- Shroff, A. V. (1997). Properties of oil contaminated soil and their remedial methods by admixtures-A case study. Indian Geotechnical Conferences, New Delhi (pp. 399-400).
- Tajik, M. (2004). Assessment of geoenvironmental effect of petroleum pollution on coastal sediments of Bushehr Province-Iran. MSc thesis, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran–Iran (IN Persian).
- Tumanyan, A., Tyutyuma, N., Bondarenko, A., & Shcherbakova, N. (2017). Influence of oil

- pollution on various types of soil. Chemistry and Technology of fuels and oils, 53(3), 369-376. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10553-017-0813-7
- Tuncan, A., & Pamukcu, S., (1992). Predicted mechanism of crude oil and marine clay interactions. Environmental Geotechnology, Usmen and Acar (eds), Balkema, Rotterdam 109– 121.
- Vaziri, N., Ghafori, M., & Blouribazaz, G. (2020). Investigating the effect of the presence of diesel on the Atterberg limit of sandy clay soils. National Conference on Architecture, Civil Engineering, Urban Development and Horizons of Islamic Artin the Second Step Statement of the Revolution Tabriz Islamic Art University (IN Persian).
- Virkutyte, J., Sillanpää, M., & Latostenmaa, P. (2002). Electrokinetic Soil Remediation Critical Overview. Science of The Total Environment, 289, 97-121. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(01)01027-0
- Yazdi, A., & Sharifi, E. (2021). Effects of contamination with gasoline on engineering properties of fine-grained silty soils with an emphasis on the duration of exposure. SN Applied Sciences, 3(7), 1-31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-021-04637-x
- Yulin, Z., Yuanyuan, H., Sicheng, L., Xiaojia, H., Xiaowei, C., & Weibin, P. (2024). Remediation of crude oil contaminated soil through an integrated biological-chemical-biological strategy, Science of The Total Environment, 919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170756.
- Zomorodian, M., & Rahimi, V. (2017). Assessement of Geotechnical Characteristic of Bentonite Clay Contaminated by Gasoline. Sharif Civil Engineering, 33, 77-82.

Appendix

Table A (cont. of Table 3). Optimum moisture content and maximum specific dry weight of soil contaminated with hydrocarbon and non-carbon materials.

| References | Soil | Type of | Content | Curing | Maximum dry | Optimum |
|---------------------|--------|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | type | contamination | (%) | period | density | moisture |
| | | | | | (MDD) | content |
| | | | | | | (OMC) |
| Roshanqhiyas and | CL | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6-8- | One month | Increased | Decreased |
| Bagheripour, (2019) | Iran | | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Al-Sanad et al., | SP | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6 | | Increased | Decreased |
| (1995) | Kuwait | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| George et al., (2014) | SM India | Gas oil | 0-4-8-12 | A week | Increased then decreased | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Choura et al., (2009) | SP | Crude oil | 0-5-10 | | Decreased | Decreased |
| Sarmadi et al., (2019) | SP Iran | Kerosene | 0-3-6-9- 12-15 | Two weeks | Decreased | Decreased |
| Ghadyani et al., (2019) | CH Iran | Kerosene | 0-3-6-9 | | Increased | Decreased |
| | | Gas oil | | | Increased | Decreased |

Table B (cont. of Table 6). Adhesion and internal friction angle of soil contaminated with hydrocarbon substances at maximum density vs. approximate specific dry weight.

References Curing Vertical Conten Type of Soil Soil Friction Soil

| References | Curing period | Vertical stress (kPa) | Conten t (%) | Type of contaminatio | Soil type | Soil friction angle (°) | Soil cohesion (kPa) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Safehian (2016) | A week | 50-100-150 | 0 | Gas oil | СН | 39 | 52 |
| Saleman (2016) | A week | 30-100-130 | 4 | Gas on | СН | | 41.3 |
| | | | 8 | | | 37 36 | 34.5 |
| | | | 12 | | | | 32.5 |
| | | | | | | 36 | 32.5 |
| | | | 16 | | | 35 | |
| 771 | | 55 110 150 | 20 | G 1 " | ap. | 34 | 30.6 |
| Khamehchiyan et al., (2007) | One Month | 55-110-160 | 0 | Crude oil | SP | 35 | 0 |
| | | | 4 | | | 32 | 3.3 |
| | | | 8 | | | 32 | 8 |
| | | | 12 | | | 29 | 7.6 |
| | | | 16 | | | 27 | 6.2 |
| | | | 0 | | SM | 33 | 27.4 |
| | | | 4 | | | 33 | 19.9 |
| | | | 8 | | | 32 | 22.7 |
| | | | 12 | | | 26 | 20.8 |
| | | | 16 | | | 26 | 34 |
| | | | 0 | | CL | 26 | 74.8 |
| | | | 4 | | | 27 | 28.4 |
| | | | 8 | | | 28 | 19.4 |
| | | | 12 | | | 29 | 19.4 |
| | | | 16 | | | 34 | 18 |
| Saberian and | | 55-111-222 | 0 | Gas oil | SP | 39 | 0 |
| Khabiri, (2018) | | | 3 | | | 35 | 10.2 |
| | | | 5.25 | | | 32 | 17.4 |
| | | | 8 | | | 31 | 26.7 |
| Nasehi et al., (2016) | | 24-44-64 | 0 | Gas oil | CL | 19 | 12.1 |

| | | | _ | | 1 | | 1 |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|-----------|------|----|----------|
| | One Month | | 3 | | | 14 | 11.4 |
| | | | 6 | | | 6 | 14.3 |
| | | | 9 | | | 6 | 14.6 |
| | | | 0 | | ML | 21 | 9.9 |
| | | | 3 | | | 18 | 9.8 |
| | | | 6 | | | 14 | 11.3 |
| | | | 9 | | | 11 | 12.4 |
| | | | 0 | | SP | 20 | 7.4 |
| | | | 3 | | | 19 | 8.4 |
| | | | 6 | | | 15 | 12.4 |
| | | | 9 | | | 14 | 12.2 |
| Askarbioki et al., (2019) | 48 Hours | 50-100-150 | 0 | Gasoline | SC | 28 | 14.9 |
| (2017) | | | 1 | | | 25 | 13.7 |
| | | | 3 | | | 23 | 13.5 |
| | | | 5 | | | 23 | 13 |
| Rasheed et al., (2014) | One Day | | 0 | Gas oil | SPSM | 12 | 18 |
| (2014) | | | 3 | | | 17 | 11 |
| | | | 5 | | | 26 | 4 |
| | | | 7.5 | | | 32 | 1.1 |
| | | | 0 | Gasoline | | 12 | 18 |
| | | | 3 | | | 14 | 16.5 |
| | | | 5 | | | 15 | 14.2 |
| | | | 7.5 | | | 20 | 12.3 |
| Karkush and | Four | 50-110-220 | 0 | Crude oil | CL | 37 | 66 |
| Kareem, (2017) | Days | | 10 | | | 30 | 37 |
| | | | 20 | | | 25 | 22 |
| Choura et al., (2009) | | | 0 | Crude oil | SP | 31 | |
| | | | 5 | | | 52 | |
| | | | 10 | | | 40 | |
| Karpuzcu et al., | Two | 100-200-300 | 0 | Crude oil | CL | 26 | 0 |
| (2018) | Weeks | | 6 | | | 31 | 42.9 |
| | | | 12 | | | 23 | 67.3 |
| | | | 0 | | SC | 39 | 24.4 |
| | | | 6 | | | 30 | 102.4 |
| | | | 12 | | | 36 | 139.5 |
| Sarmadi et al., | Two | 50-100-150 | 0 | Kerosene | SW | 38 | 0 |
| (2019) | Weeks | -200-250 | 3 | | | 35 | 5.6 |
| | | | 6 | | | 33 | 6.4 |
| | | | 9 | | | 32 | 6.8 |
| | | | 12 | | | 31 | 7.1 |
| | | | 15 | | | 30 | 7.3 |
| | | | 10 | | | 20 | 41 |
| | | | 20 | | | 18 | 36 |
| | l . | | Ī | | 1 | l | <u> </u> |

| | 30 | | 18 | 32 |
|--|----|--|----|----|

Table C (cont. of Table 8). Atterberg limits of soil contaminated with hydrocarbon and non-carbon materials

| References | Soil type | Type of contamination | Content (%) | Curing period | Plastic limit (PL) | Liquid limit (LL) | Plastic index (PI) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rajaee et al., | CL | Used engine oil | 0-2-4-6-10 | 20 Days | Decreased | | |
| (2012) | ML | | | | | | |
| | Iran | | | | | | |
| Khosravi et al., (2013) | CL Iran | Gas oil | 0-2-4-6- 12-16 | A week | Decreased | Increased | Increased |
| Adeoye et al., (2015) | lateritic soil (CL) | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6-8- 10 | 24 Hours | Increased | Increased | Increased |
| | Nigeria | | | | | | |
| George et al., | SM | Gas oil | 0-4-8-12 | A week | Increased | Decreased | Decreased |
| (2014) | India | | | | and then decreased | | and then increased |
| Rasheed et al., | SPSM | Gas oil | 3-5-7.5 | One Day | Increased | Increased | Decreased |
| (2014) | Iraq | Kerosene | - | | Increased | Increased | Decreased |
| Mohamed et al., | SP | Crude oil | 0-5-10-15 | | | Increased | |
| (2009) | Tunisia | | | | | | |
| Liu et al., | CL | Gas oil | 4-8-12-16- | 48 Hours | Decreased | Decreased | Increased |
| (2015) | China | | 20 | | | | |
| Singh et al., | СН | Gas oil | 0-3-6-9 | | | | Increased |
| (2008) | India | Gasoline | | | | | Increased |
| | | Kerosene | | | | | Decreased |
| | CL | Gas oil | | | | | Increased |
| | | Gasoline | | | | | Increased |
| | | Kerosene | | | | | Decreased |
| Alhassan and Fagge, (2013) | SP Nigeria | Used engine oil | 0-2-4-6 | | Decreased | Increased and then decreased | |
| | | Crude Oil | | | Increased and then decreased | Increased and then decreased | |

Table D (cont. of Table 9). Permeability of soil contaminated with hydrocarbon substances

| References | Soil type | Type of contamination | Content (%) | Curing period | Main results | Mechanism |
|---|------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Aghajani et al., (2017) | SM Iran | Crude oil | | | Decreased | Due to the swelling of clay particles and the empty space being filled by crude oil |
| Roshanqhiyas and Bagheripour, (2019) | CL Iran | Crude oil | 0-2-4-6-8- 10 | One month | Decreased | The reason for the high viscosity of crude oil and the filling of the empty space between the grains |

| Ahmadi et al., (2021) | SM Iran | Crude oil | 0-4-6-8 | One month | Decreased | Filling the empty space between the grains |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Choura et al., (2009) | SP Tunisia | Crude oil | 0-5-10-15 | | Decreased | |
| Sarmadi et al., (2019) | SP Iran | Kerosene | 0-3-6-9-12- 15 | Two weeks | Decreased | |
| Puri (2000(| SP | Crude oil | 0-9.5-19- 28.5 | | Decrease | |
| Khamehchiyan et al., (2007) | SM Iran SP CL | Crude oil | 0-4-8-12-16 | One month | Decreased Decreased Decreased | Filling the empty space between the grains |



نشریه زمین شناسی مهندسی

Journal home page https://jeg.khu.ac.ir



شاپا الکترونیکی ۲۹۸۱-۱۶۰۰

مروری بر خصوصیات ژئوتکنیکی خاکهای آلوده با مواد هیدروکربنی و یسماند حاصل از **کار خانههای تصفیه روغن سوخته**

امیر خوش گفتار ۱ ،مهدی خدایرست ⊠۲

۱.محقق، دانشکده فنی و مهندسی، دانشگاه قم، قم، ایران. رایانامه: khoshgoftar.bl@gmail.com ۲. استاد، دانشکده فنی و مهندسی، دانشگاه قم، قم، ایران. رایانامه: khodaparast@qom.ac.ir

| چکیده | اطلاعات مقاله |
|---|--------------------------------|
| آلودگی خاک توسط نفت و مشتقات آن علاوه بر تاثیرات مخرب زیست محیطی، بر پارامترهای ژئوتکنیکی خاک | نوع مقاله: مقاله پژوهشی |
| نیز تاثیرگذار است. در اثر فرآیندهایی که بین آلاینده و خاک رخ میدهد، ساختار و پارامترهای ژئوتکنیکی | |
| خاک تغییر میکند. در خاکهای چسبنده، به صورت تغییر در بافت و ساختار خاک است، بطوری که ضخامت | تاریخ دریافت: ۱۴۰۳/۰۷/۱۲ |
| لایه دوگانه خاک رس تغییر کرده و خاک ساختاری شبیه به خاک دانه ای پیدا میکند. در این پژوهش ابتدا | تاریخ بازنگری: ۱۴۰۳/۰۹/۲۵ |
| به بررسی تاثیر آلودگی ماده حاصل از پسماند کارخانجات تصفیه روغن سوخته (اسلاج اسیدی و خاک فیلتر) | تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۴۰۳/۱۰/۰۸ |
| بر رفتار تراکمی و مقاومتی خاک ماسه رسدار توسط آزمایش پروکتور و برش مستقیم پرداخته شده است | |
| سپس خصوصیات ژئوتکنیکی انواع خاک آلوده به درصدهای مختلف مواد هیدرو کربنی در سایر تحقیق ها مور د | كليدواژهها: |
| بررسی، تجزیه و تحلیل قرارگرفتهاست. نتایج نشان میدهد تغییرات پارامترهای ژئوتکنیکی خاکها وابسته به | ویژگیهای ژئوتکنیکی، آلودگی |
| نوع خاک، نوع ماده آلوده کننده و مدت زمان آلودگی است که عمده تغییرات زاویه اصطکاک داخلی، نسبت | نفتی، انواع خاک، ساختار خاک، |
| ظرفیت باربری کالیفرنیا و نفوذپذیری خاک به صورت کاهشی و چسبندگی و حدود اتربرگ خاک بصورت | هیدرو کربن. |
| افزایشی است. همچنین مقاومت برشی خاک آلوده شده به مواد هیدروکربنی روند تغییرات مشخص و ثابتی | |
| نداشته است بطوری که با آلوده شدن به اسلاج اسیدی، با وجود افزایش چسبندگی خاک به دلیل کاهش زاویه | |
| اصطکاک داخلی خاک مقاومت برشی خاک کاهش مییابد اما با آلوده شدن به خاک فیلتر، بدلیل افزایش زیاد | |
| چسبندگی با وجود کاهش زاویه اصطکاک داخلی مقاومت برشی خاک افزایش مییابد. | |

مقدمه

آلودگی خاک توسط نفت و مشتقات آن و یا پسماند حاصله از کارخانجات هم در کشورهای صنعتی و هم در کشورهای در حال توسعه پس از مواد رادیو اکتیو اثرات مخرب واری بر محیط زیست گذاشته که این امریک نگرانی و یک مسئله (Tumanyan et al., 2017; Errington et جدى است جدى (al., 2018. براساس مطالعات انجام شده در سال های ۱۹۷۸ تا ۱۹۹۲، ۳۳ درصد از آلودگیها در محیطهای آبی

و خاکی درنتیجه ذخیره سازی و حمل و نقل نامناسب و ۶۷ درصد این آلودگی ها درنتیجه مشکلات موجود و حوادث غیر مترقبه در انتقال محصولات نفتی با خطوط لوله و نفت كشها مىباشد(Kermani and Ebadi, 2012). ميزان نفوذ آلاینده های نفتی در زمین بستگی به ویژگی های خاک (تخلخل، نفوذپذیری، محتوای آب و غیره) و همچنین ماهیت و کمیت ترکیبات موجود در آلاینده دارد ,Fine et al., تاكنون تحقيقات .1997; Nudelman et al., 2002)

استناد: خوش گفتار، ا،، خداپرست، م. (۱۴۰۳). مروری بر خصوصیات ژئوتکنیکی خاکهای آلوده با مواد هیدروکربنی و پسماند حاصل از کارخانههای تصفیه روغن سوخته. مجله زمین شناسی مهندسی، ۱۸ (۵)، ۸۱۱-۶۸۱ https://doi.org/10.22034/JEG.2024.18.5.104968 .۲۱۱-۶۸۱



تفاوت در روند ها، جداول و نمودارهای بدست آمده از پژوهش ها در کنار هم ترسیم می گردد.

بحث و نتایج

با آلوده شدن خاک توسط مواد هیدروکربنی روند تغییرات حدود اتربرگ عموما افزایشی و دربعضی موارد بصورت کاهشی میباشد. بدلیل لزجت بالای مواد هیدروکربنی و احاطه شدن ذرات خاک توسط مولکول های غیر قطبی نفتی و عدم تشکیل لایه دوگانه به آب بیشتری جهت تغییر فرم خاک مورد نیاز است که منجر به افزایش حدود اتربرگ می شود. همچنین بدلیل کاهش ظرفیت تبادل کاتیونی و کاهش ثابت دی الکتریک که منجر به لخته سازی خاک گردیده و حدود اتربرگ کاهش می یابد. در خصوص تغییرات حداکثر وزن مخصوص خشک خاک آلوده به مواد نفتی، آلاینده منجر به کاهش و یا افزایش حداکثر وزن مخصوص خشک خاک می گردد. افزایش حداکثر وزن مخصوص خشک آلاينده هاى نفتى بدليل ويسكوزيته بالا مواد هيدروكربني است که به عنوان روان کننده عمل می کنند و اجازه می دهند ذرات به آرامی روی یکدیگر بلغزند و به یکدیگر نزدیک و متراکم تر شوند. اما کاهش حداکثر وزن مخصوص خشک خاک را می توان به چگالی کمتر آلاینده های نفتی نسبت به آب، و همچنین اتلاف انرژی ناشی از حضور آلاینده های نفتی در طول آزمایش تراکم نسبت داد. آلوده شدن خاک به مواد هیدروکربنی عموما درصد رطوبت بهینه را بدلیل پرشدن فضای خالی بین دانه های خاک توسط ماده هیدروکربنی و فاصله گرفتن بین ذرات رسی بدلیل پایین بودن ثابت دی الكتريك مواد نفتي، كاهش مي دهد. همچنين طبق تحقيق های انجام شده در خصوص نفوذ پذیری، پر شدن فضای خالی بین دانه های خاک توسط موادهیدروکربنی و لزجت بالای مواد نفتی و آب گریز بودن آن ها، عموما موجب کاهش نفوذ پذیری می گردد. گوناگونی توسط محققین مختلف در خصوص تاثیر انواع مواد آلوده کننده هیدروکربنی و نفتی شامل نفت خام، بنزین، گازوئیل، روغن موتور، روغن موتور سوخته بر روی انواع خاکهای ریزدانه و درشت دانه انجام شده است که در این بین مطالعه اندکی در خصوص آلوده شدن خاک توسط پسماند حاصله از کارخانههای تسویه روغن سوخته انجام گرفته است. در این راستا مطالعه حاضر بهمنظور بررسی تفاوت در روند تغییرات پارامترهای ژئوتکنیکی انواع خاکهای آلوده شده به مواد هیدروکربنی به خصوص اسلاج خاکهای آلوده شده به مواد هیدروکربنی به خصوص اسلاج اسیدی و خاک فیلتر که هر دو پسماند حاصله از کارخانه تصفیه روغن سوخته می باشد را در درصد ها و میزان ماندگاری آلودگی مختلف مورد ارزیابی و تجزیه تحلیل قرار میدهد.

مواد و روش ها

در این تحقیق ابتدا به منظور بررسی اثر آلودگی خاک ناشی از دو پسماند حاصله از تصفیه روغن سوخته شامل اسلاج ا سیدی و خاک فیلتر در در صدهای مختلف آلودگی (۰، ۳، ۶، ۹ درصد)، آزمایش تراکم بر روی نمونه های خاک آلوده شده بر اساس استانداردASTM D 698 انجام شده است. همچنین آزمایش برش مستقیم طبق استاندارد ASTM D3080 با جعبه به ابعاد۱۰×۱۰سـانتیمتر مربع بر روی نمونه های خاک آلوده با هر دو پسماند انجام شده است. نتایج مقاومت بر شی خاک ما سه رس دار با وزن مخ صوص ۱٫۹ گرم بر سانتی متر مکعب با استفاده از داده های بدست آمده از آزمایش برش مستقیم مورد ارزیابی قرار می گیرد (Khoshgoftar et al., 2021). ســپس نتايج تغييرات پارامتر های مختلف خاک آلوده شده با انواع ماده های هیدرو کربنی به تفکیک با استفاده از داده های موجود در سایر تحقیقها و با کمک نرم افزار مناسب استخراج گردیده و مورد بررسی و تحلیل قرار گرفته و برای مقایسه میزان

خاک، وزن مخصوص خشک حداکثر خاک را از ۱۸/۸۵ به ۱۹/۲۳ کیلونیوتن بر متر مکعب می رساند که علت این امر می تواند تسهیل هر چه بیشتر حرکت روان دانههای خاک و امکان فرورفتن دانه ها در فضاهای خالی بین آنها و نزدیک تر شدن دانههای خاک نسبت به یکدیگر باشد. اسلاج اسیدی بین دانههای خاک قرار گرفته و موجب کاهش اتصال محکم بین ذرات خاک و راحتتر لغزیدن دانههای خاک بر روی یکدیگر می شود و در نهایت زاویه اصطکاک داخلی خاک کاهش می یابد و از لحاظ فیزیکی در واقع موجب نوعی روغن کاری بین دانههای خاک میشود. همچنین با افزایش آلودگی خاک فیلتر به خاک به میزان ۵/۱ درصد به خاک، حداکثر وزن مخصوص خشک خاک از ۱۹/۱۳ به ۱۹/۵۶ کیلونیوتون بر متر مكعب افزايش پيدا مى كند.

۳- در خاک دانه ای آلودگی دور تا دور دانه های خاک را می پوشاند و بدلیل ویسکوزیته بالای مواد هیدرو کربنی، موجب روغن کاری بین دانه های خاک گردیده که عموما موجب کاهش زاویه اصطکاک داخلی و بهبود پارامتر های تراکمی خاک شده است.

۴- مقاومت برشی خاک آلوده شده به مواد هیدروکربنی روند تغییرات مشخص و ثابتی نداشته است بطوری که با آلوده شدن به اسلاج اسیدی، با وجود افزایش چسبندگی خاک به دلیل کاهش زاویه اصطکاک داخلی خاک مقاومت برشی خاک کاهش یافته است اما با آلوده شدن به خاک فیلتر، بدلیل افزایش زیاد چسبندگی با وجود کاهش زاویه اصطکاک داخلی مقاومت برشی خاک افزایش می یابد.

براساس مطالعات انجام شده بر روی رفتار مقاومتی خاکهای آلوده به مواد هیدروکربنی میتوان عنوان نمود بدلیل اثر روغن کاری بین دانه های خاک و راحت تر لغزیدن دانه های خاک روی یکدیگر نسبت ظرفیت باربری کالیفرنیا عموما کاهش می یابد. همچنین زاویه اصطکاک داخلی خاک در خاک های دانه ای بدلیل راحت تر لغزیدن دانه های خاک روی یکدیگر زاویه اصطکاک داخلی کاهش و در خاک های ریزدانه زاویه اصطکاک داخلی عموما افزایش می یابد که این افزایش می تواند بدلیل فولو کوله شدن خاک های رسی باشد. در خصوص تغییرات چسبندگی خاک نیز می توان عنوان نمود، با آلوده شدن خاک توسط مواد نفتی بدلیل ویسکوزیته بالای مواد هیدروکربنی چسبندگی افزایش یافته است اما در بعضی از موارد بدلیل کاهش ثابت دی الکتریک و کاهش ضخامت لایه دو گانه خاک رس و تغییر ساختار خاک به حالت پراكنده، چسبندگی خاک كاهش می يابد. همانگونه که مشاهده شد بررسی تاثیر مواد آلوده کننده بر رفتار خاک به عوامل گوناكوني از جمله نوع آلودگي، درصد ماده آلوده کننده، زمان سپری شده از آلودگی خاک و نوع خاک تاثیر دارد که عمده تغییرات زاویه اصطکاک داخلی، نسبت ظرفیت باربری کالیفرنیا و نفوذیذیری خاک به صورت کاهشی و چسبندگی و حدود اتربرگ خاک بصورت افزایشی است.

نتيجهگيري

در مجموع از مطالب ذکر شده در این مقاله می توان مهمترین نتایج بدست آمده را به شرح ذیل بیان نمود:

۱- آلودگی خاک توسط مواد هیدروکربنی بر ساختار و پارامتر های ژئوتکنیکی خاک تاثیر میگذارد که این تغییرات در انواع خاک ها متفاوت بوده و وابسطه به نوع ماده آلوده کننده و مدت زمان آلودگی است.

۲- اضافه نمودن ۴/۲ درصد آلودگی اسلاج اسیدی به